

Screening Checklist

Case Number: **ABP-319198-24**
Customer: **Milford Quarries Limited**
Screened By: **Daniel O'Connor**
Screening EO: **Daniel O'Connor**
Case Type: **Normal Planning Appeal PDA2000**
PA Name: **Carlow County Council**
PA Reg Ref: **2360042**



An
Bord
Pleanála

Screening Checklist	Value
Public Notices	Yes
EIS/EIAR Required?	No
EIS/EIAR Submitted	Yes
NIS Submitted	Yes
NIS Required?	No
Article 137 (HSA)	No
OH Hold on Cross Circulation	No
Revised Notices	Yes
Oral Hearing Requested	No
Protected Structure	No
Material Contravention	No
Section 49	No
Section 138	No
Invoke Article 28	No
Invoke Section.132	No
Invoke Section 137	No
Section 131 Assessed?	Yes
Time Left for Appeal Responses	No
Time Left for Observations	No
Last Date for Observations	02/04/2024
Screened Date	09/04/2024
Appeal Circulation Day	13/03/2024
Last Date For Receipt of Appeals	05/03/2024
Last Date for Appeal Responses(09/04/2024

Run at: 09/04/2024 14:13

Run by: Daniel O'Connor

Case No. ABP-319198-24 Reg Ref: 2360042 PA Date: 07/02/2024 E.O: Daniel O'Connor

Lodged: 05/03/2024 Decide By: 08/07/2024

Development Type: Rock Quarrying

Class: Industry

Development Description:

Demolition of existing disused building and development of a dimension stone quarry
EIAR and NIS was submitted with application

Bannagagole, Old Leighlin, Co. Carlow, R93 XN26

ABP Case Type: Appeals Normal Planning Appeals Normal Planning Appeal PDA2000

Appeal Type: Multiple Appeals? :

PA Decision: Refuse permission

OH Requested: No

Area Co-Ordinator: Sarah Moran

A report on this case should be completed and returned before: 11/06/2024

Signed: Daniel O'Connor

Date: 9/4/24

Statutory Notice issued and/or comments:

INSPECTOR – DECLARATION

Having reviewed the case assigned to me, I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge I am satisfied that I do not have a conflict of interest in relation to this case and that I am in compliance with the Board's Code of Conduct.

Inspector Print Name: _____ Signed: _____ Date: _____

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 319198-24

Defer Re O/H

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 3/4/24
from

PA I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): No new material planning issues
Board to consider same

E.O.: Daniel J Conno

Date: 10/4/24

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 319198-24

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 3/4/24 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____ 2. Acknowledge with BP <u>to</u> 3. Keep copy of Board's Letter <input type="checkbox"/>	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____ 2. Keep Envelope: <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Keep Copy of Board's letter <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--

Amendments/Comments	<u>PA's response to appeal received</u>

4. Attach to file (a) R/S <input type="checkbox"/> (d) Screening <input type="checkbox"/> (b) GIS Processing <input type="checkbox"/> (e) Inspectorate <input type="checkbox"/> (c) Processing <input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN TO EO <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Screening drawer</u>
---	---

	Plans Date Stamped <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date Stamped Filled in <input type="checkbox"/>
EO: <u>Daniel J Connel</u>	AA: <u>Jan Kelly</u>
Date: <u>8/4/24</u>	Date: <u>10/04/2024</u>



COMHAIRLE CONTAE
CHEATHARLACH

CARLOW COUNTY COUNCIL

2nd April 2024

Ian Kelly,
An Bord Pleanála,
64 Marlborough Street,
Dublin 1,
D01 V902.

Registered Post

Oifigí an Chontae,
Bóthar Átha Í,
Ceatharlach, R93E7R7

County Buildings,
Athy Road,
Carlow, R93E7R7

T: 059 9170300

E: See carlow.ie/contact-us

W: carlow.ie

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LDG- _____
ABP- _____

03 APR 2024

Fee: € _____ Type: _____

Time: 9.00am By: Reg Post

Re:

Description of Development:

PL23/60042 & ABP-319198-24.

The demolition of existing disused buildings and the development of a dimension stone quarry with a projected lifetime of c. 14 years (12 – 13 years operational with an additional 1-year to allow for the implementation of a restoration plan) at this site of c. 9.34 hectares at Bannagagole, Old Leighlin, Co. Carlow. The proposed quarry void (c. 2.44 ha) will be extracted to a depth of 2 no. benches of c. 10m from top of bedrock, with a final floor level of c. 56.5m AOD with a proposed rate of rock extraction of c.30,000 cubic metres (84,000 tonnes) per annum. A proposed working area of c. 1.2 hectares to the south of the extraction zone will provide for the crushing/processing of unusable stone and temporary storage of dimensional stone and will include machinery storage shed (c. 115m²), staff welfare (c. 45.7 m²), wastewater holding tank, weighbridge & Office (c. 14m²) and staff car parking area. The proposed development will also include for earthen screening berms to a height of c. 3 m, a wheelwash facility; Installation of surface water attenuation and settlement ponds for the treatment of suspended solids in the floor of the quarry void; soil storage area with an average storage depth of c. 4 m and all other site development works above and below ground including the restoration of the final quarry void (extractive area). Access to the proposed development will be facilitated by a HGV site entrance from the L3036 at the eastern boundary of the proposed site. An Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) and a Natura Impact Statement (NIS) has been submitted.

Location of Development:
Applicant:

Bannagagole, Old Leighlin, Co. Carlow, R93 XN26.
Milford Quarries Limited.


Dear Mr. Kelly,

I wish to acknowledge your correspondence in relation to the above dated the 14th March 2024 and the content therein.

The details of the 3rd party appeal have been assessed and the following observations are made in respect of same:

- The Planning Authority consider that the evaluation of potential cumulative impacts between the proposed development and other plans and projects, including the existing operational quarry adjoining the site, and with respect to an assessment of potential environmental impacts including from blasting as a method of extraction and proposals to crush and process aggregate on site within the submitted EIAR and subsequent addendums was not sufficient and whilst reference was made to the adjoining Quarry being authorised and subject to mitigation as part of its permission no detailed evaluation was provided. This information was required to inform a full assessment of the potential cumulative impacts.
- The Planning Authority contend that the applicants were afforded the opportunity through the initial further information request to address concerns regarding the submitted EIAR and Natura Impact Statement.
- Apart from the foregoing, the Board is respectfully referred to the details as set out in the planning reports and internal department reports for the planning application.

Yours faithfully,


Shirley O'Neill,
Administrative Officer.